

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4257-2024
Date of decision: 10.05.2024**

Deepika and another **....Petitioners.**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **....Respondents.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred seeking direction to the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. At the very outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harraj Singh, P.S. City Abohar, has stated that statements of the petitioners had been recorded on 09.05.2024, wherein they have stated that at present they are not in need of any police protection. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners in Court.

3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners, very fairly, sought withdrawal of the instant petition at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to file the petition afresh in case circumstances so required.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

10.05.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |