



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1391 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.08.2024

Kamaljit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sangeeta Sharma, Director, Public Instructions
(Elementary Education, SAS Nagar (Mohali))

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmad, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s), alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 10.02.2022 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in CWP No. 1641 of 2022, the operative portion thereof reads as under:-

“ In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director, Public Instruction (Elementary Education), Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 23.10.2020 (P-1), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

[2] In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order dated 10.02.2022, the payment due

towards the petitioner has been calculated and released in his favour, thereby rendering the present petition as infructuous.

[3] In such circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[4] **Disposed off as not pressed.**

[5] However, in case as per the calculation sheet of respondent, any claim of the petitioner still survives, he would be at liberty to raise his claim by filing representation before the quarter concerned within a period of two weeks from today, which shall be dealt with, as per law, within a period of four weeks thereafter from the date of its receipt by passing a speaking order thereupon, which shall be conveyed to the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter and in case of any delay on the part of respondent, the officer concerned would be liable to pay costs of Rs.50,000/- to the petitioner.

[6] Also, it is made clear that in an eventuality, wherein the petitioner is compelled to approach this Court seeking revival of the contempt petition, the respondent(s) shall bear the additional costs of Rs.1,00,000/- towards litigation expenses incurred by the petitioner.

[7] Rule stands discharged.

August 29, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>