



- ii) how many persons are nominated in FIR/police report;
- iii) how many accused/victims out of total accused/victims have entered into compromise;
- iv) if there is partial compromise, what is role of the accused;
- v) what is stage of trial, if accused already convicted, whether appeal is pending in Appellate Court;
- vi) whether all accused/petitioners are appearing before the Court or are on bail; and
- vii) whether any other proceeding is pending against the accused/petitioner.

Adjourned to 30.05.2023.”

3. In compliance of order dated 23.05.2024 and the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.89 dated 09.08.2021, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC at Police Station Women, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

August 12, 2024
manisha

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |