



CWP-11415-2024

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11415-2024

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Anushka Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education, Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Beant Singh Seemar, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No. 1 to make the necessary correction of Date of Birth in Marksheet dated 22.07.2022 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a letter dated 09.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.1 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said respondent No.1 is directed to consider the said letter dated 09.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.



3. Learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.1 would consider the said letter dated 09.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1 to consider letter dated 09.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then competent authority of respondent No.1 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, competent authority of respondent No.1 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

16.05.2024
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No