



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Siddharth Chaturvedi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Shivansh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.


CRM-35752-2024

Allowed as prayed for and Annexures P-29 to P-33 are taken on record.

Main case

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
144	11.04.2024	Sushant Lok, Gurugram, District Gurugram	420, 406 and 120-B IPC

1. The petitioner apprehending his arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC read with 482 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail.
2. Vide order dated 22.07.2024, the petitioner was granted interim bail which is continuing till date.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the amount corresponding to value of the vehicle in question stands paid.
4. Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute this fact and submits that no settlement has yet taken place and opposes the bail for the reasons of interest part on delay payment. He further submits that he has all the rights to ask for fair investigation



CRM-M-22876-2024

and to speed up the prosecution.

5. Counsel for the State opposes the bail but does not refute the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner.

6. Given the nature of allegation and the fact that there is no allegation that petitioner did not join investigation or failed to appear before the investigator concerned or hamper the investigation. The amount involved in the present case has already been paid. As such, the petitioner is entitled for bail.

7. Given above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 22.07.2024 is made absolute. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

05.09.2024
Sonia Puri
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.