


2024:PHHC:105128



348 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1625-2024
Decided on:-13.08.2024

Om Parkash Petitioner..

VS.

Sh.Krishan Lal and another Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajat Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Sections 11& 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents on account of alleged non-compliance of order dated 04.12.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-27175-2023. The operative part thereof is extracted hereunder:-

“Since it is also averred in the petition that an eviction petition titled as ‘Gram Panchayat, Amupur, through Member Panchayat Subhash Chand versus Om Parkash’, is also pending. Therefore, if the said petition is also in respect of the land, mentioned in Annexure P-4, thereupon the saidpetition shall be tried along with the petition, as may be chosen to be filed, thus in terms of the order (supra), before the Assistant Collector concerned.”

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Sanjay Taank, District Development and Panchayats Officer, Karnal on behalf of the respondent(s) has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.
3. In response to the last order dated 09.05.2024, learned State counsel, on instructions from Gram Sachiv-Anand Kumar, submits that the petition filed under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 (for short, “Act”) against the present petitioner shall be connected and decided along with the other similar petitions filed under Section 7 of the Act against the individuals, who are found to be in occupation of the panchayat land and shall not be decided separately.
4. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.
5. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being not pressed.

Rule discharged.

13.08.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No

