

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25262-2022 (O&M)
Date of order: 01.05.2024

Tejinder Kumar & another
... Petitioner(s)
Versus
Sudhir Goyal
...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
Present:- Mr. Ayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Nirmal Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Grover, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Complaint	No. COMA/200/2019 under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, District Ludhiana.
Court	Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon

Seeking quashing of complaint captioned above along with summoning order dated 10.1.2019 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.

2. Counsel for the respondent has opposed the present petition by referring to paragraph 12 of the present petition.
3. However, counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a *bona fide* error and not intentional. He seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one.
4. The present petition is disposed of, having been withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one in accordance with law. It is clarified that since there is no

adjudication on merits, the contents of the present petition be not treated against the petitioner as evidence in any proceedings.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

May 01, 2024
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No