



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(228-6)

CWP No. 11705-2020
Date of Decision : 29.05.2024

Poonam Rani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate,
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for the respondent-Nigam.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they competed for the post of Lower Division Clerks (LDC) and were selected by the respondents and appointed in the initial and revised result but the earlier service rendered by the petitioners is not being considered.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the revised merit list also, the petitioners became eligible within the zone of appointment and are continuing in service on the said post but the respondents are threatening that the earlier service of the petitioners will



be washed out, action of the respondents which is totally arbitrary and illegal. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in one of the similar case of the Clerks, the decision had already been taken by the Government on 21.04.2023 as to how, the Clerks, who have been initially appointed as well as appointed in the revised merit list, are to be treated and the said benefits need to be extended to the petitioners also.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioners will be considered in light of the Instructions dated 21.04.2023 and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of three months of the receipt of copy of this order and whatever the relief the petitioners are found entitled for, the same will be extended to the petitioners and regarding any relief for which the petitioners are not found entitled for, the reasons will be given in the speaking order to be passed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

May 29, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No