



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4543 of 2023
Date of decision: 9th September, 2024

Kishwer Masih & others
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Brahmjot S. Nahar, Advocate for
Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
2. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioners, it has not been disputed that the petitioner No.3 has been booked in a criminal case after filing of the instant petition.
3. In the circumstances, this Court would refrain from issuing directions, as prayed for.
4. Learned counsel has, however, brought the notice of this Court that the FIR in question emanates from a matrimonial dispute between the parties and they are trying to work out an amicable



settlement between themselves. He submits that he would not press the instant petition, and instead would avail an alternate remedy, as may be available to the petitioners in accordance with law.

5. Dismissed as not pressed with liberty aforesaid.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No