

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-12665-2022
Date of Decision:16.04.2024

M/S WEBTECH ENGINEERING PVT. LTD.

.... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner-M/s Webtech Engineering Pvt. Ltd., has filed the present writ petition for issuance of directions to respondent No.3- The Assistant Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Regional Office, Bhavishya Nidhi Bhawan, Sector-15 A, Faridabad, to consider the representation dated 13.04.2022 (Annexure P-4), for making installments of outstanding dues of Employees Provident Fund towards petitioner, which were determined vide order dated 16.03.2021.

2. On 05.02.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:

*“Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.*

..-

Undisputedly, order dated 16.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) whereby petitioner has been directed to deposit the amount of Rs.22,99,175/- (subject matter of provident fund) has not been challenged in appeal by the petitioner and said part is already recorded by the co- ordinate Bench of this Court in its order dated 01.06.2022.

Counsel for the petitioner informs the Court that after the order passed by this Court i.e. 01.06.2022, petitioner has been depositing monthly instalments of Rs.1,00,000/- with the respondents and only an amount of Rs.2,94,581/- is due to be deposited. Remaining amount has already been deposited with respondent No.3.

Facts narrated by counsel for the petitioner and recorded here above are affirmed by counsel for respondent No.3, also. Counsel for the petitioner requires some more time to deposit the balance amount.

Adjourned to 16.04.2024.
To be taken up at 2.00 P.M.

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE”

3. Today, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complete amount towards the Provident Fund as ordered by respondent No.3 has been paid and therefore, he does not wish to press the present writ petition and prays for withdrawal of the same.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

April 16, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no