



CWP-14606-2004 (O&M) - 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

571

**CWP-14606-2004 (O&M)
Date of decision:10.09.2024**

Sham Lal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. G. C. Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing of order dated 01.07.2003, Annexure P-4 whereby the pay scale of the petitioner was reduced, which was stayed by the Division Bench vide order dated 20.09.2004.

2. In the index of the petition, a reference to CWP No.6473 of 1992 had been made, involving the same issue as involved herein, which was disposed of vide order dated 03.02.2014, and reads thus:

“Counsel for the petitioners states that he has no instructions from the petitioners. However, as per the order dated 26.05.1992 passed by this Court, reduction of pay of the petitioners was stayed.

In the light of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case and also keeping in view the fact that the writ petition is pending since the year 1992 with the favourable



CWP-14606-2004 (O&M) - 2-

order of stay in favour of the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to re-consider the claim of the petitioners, as made in the present writ petition and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of four months. Even if an order against the interest of the petitioners is finally passed by the respondents, no recovery shall be effected from the petitioners in pursuance to the orders dated 14.05.1992 and 18.05.1992 (Annexures P-5 and P-6 respectively).”

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to disposing of the petition in view of the aforesaid.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.09.2024
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No