



267 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23120-2024
Date of decision: 30.07.2024

PARAMPAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (through Video Conferencing).

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 1 dated 06.01.2024 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC at Police Station Women, District Commissionerate Jalandhar.
2. Power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.
3. On 09.05.2024, following order was passed:

“The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case arising out FIR No.1 dated 06.01.2024 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar on the basis of written complaint submitted by respondent No.2.

It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations qua demand of dowry and harassment of respondent No.2 as well as criminal misappropriation of her Istridhan are total vague, general and omnibus in nature. In fact, it is case of temperamental differences between the petitioner and respondent No.2. He is ready to join the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Notice of motion.

Learned State counsel who has appeared on advance notice of the petition, seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Notice to respondent No.2 be issued for 26.07.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer to join investigation within one week or as and when subsequently required thereafter. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on interim bail on furnishing personal/surety bonds to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that dowry articles are yet to be recovered and there are serious and specific allegations levelled against him.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar, submits that in compliance of order dated 09.05.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

6. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 07.10.2023, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

7. The petition is accordingly disposed of.



8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

July 30, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |