



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 10897 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.05.2024

Prem Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajender Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the charge-sheets were pending against the petitioner at the time of retirement and certain charges were issued after the retirement but in none of the charges, any charge has been proved and all the charge-sheets have been dropped. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that due to the pendency of the said charge-sheets, the retiral benefits of the petitioner were withheld by the respondents but though, now the said benefits have been released to him but without interest, hence, petitioner is entitled for interest on the delay release of the pensionary benefits as the same was withheld by the respondents by making certain allegations against the petitioner, which the department failed to prove.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure P-12) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure P-12) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No