



CWP-10666-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-10666-2024

Date of Decision :09.05.2024

Premlata

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Sangwan Advocate and
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that as per the written examination, the petitioner has secured more marks while competing for Group-C post in pursuance to the advertisement No.3/2023 dated 07.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) issued by the respondents, then the last candidate, who has been allowed to proceed further for the post in question but still the petitioner has not been allowed the said benefit, which act of the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has secured 61 marks in the BCA category whereas, cut off in the said category is 53 but still the petitioner has not been allowed to participate further for the said post, which is arbitrary and illegal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

**CWP-10666-2024****-2-**

has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of submitting a representation dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P/6) and petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case, a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, representation dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P/6) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to her otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 09, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No