



CRM-M-23161-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

270

CRM-M-23161-2024

Date of decision : 03.09.2024

Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J.

1. Petitioner – Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni has filed instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.229 dated 06.06.2019 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 120-B, 417, 418, 420, 467, 468, 471, 475, 476, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Azad Nagar Hisar, District Hisar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-4).

2. Facts of the case are that Mahabir complainant gave his statement that he is the property dealer having office at Azad Nagar. Sanjeev Soni started visiting his office frequently and he (complainant) also used to visit his house. Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni stated that he was wholesale dealer in gold and suggested him to invest money and in return he will get profit. Other family members of Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni also persuaded him to invest money. Said Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni suggested him to keep silver as security and in return to give money. Ultimately, he came to know that all hatched criminal

**CRM-M-23161-2024****-2-**

conspiracy and cheated him by giving fake silver and they extorted money by fraud. He was cheated for a sum of Rs.1,78,00,000/-. He received back Rs.42,00,000/- and balance amount was misappropriated by Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni and his other family members Manoj Soni, Dhiraj and Chanderbhan. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar dated 15.06.2024. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded, where he confirmed the compromise with petitioner. He confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion or undue influence from any side and he has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner- Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni also confirmed this fact in his statement. Statement of ASI Dharamvir Singh is also recorded. He also stated that initially complaint was filed against Chanderbhan, Manoj, Dheeraj and Sanjeev Verma @ Sanjeev Soni. During investigation Chanderbhan, Manoj and Dheeraj were found innocent. He further stated that the FIR was registered under Sections 417, 418, 420, 467, 468, 471, 475, 476, 120-B & 506 of IPC but during investigation Section 467, 468, 471, 475, 476, 120-B of IPC were deleted and Section 406 of IPC was added. He confirmed that the accused is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

**CRM-M-23161-2024****-3-**

Hisar it is clear that compromise has been effected between parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties.

6. Considering all these factors in mind, in the case in hand both parties have mutually settled their dispute. It would enable them to live in peace and harmony and end all litigation started between them. Thus no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

7. Therefore considering these facts, petition filed by petitioner is accepted and FIR No.229 dated 06.06.2019 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 120-B, 417, 418, 420, 467, 468, 471, 475, 476, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Azad Nagar Hisar, District Hisar and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

8. Accordingly, present petition stands accepted.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

03.09.2024.*Sunil Devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No