

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-10541-2024

Date of decision: - 07.05.2024

Gurtej Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Bathinda through its Secretary

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to release stage carriage permit on Mansa to Singho via Gharangna, Gagowal, Makha, Raipur, Peron route, granted to it, vide grant letter No.4189 dated 03.11.2021 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-5) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the competent authority of the respondent considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent would consider the said representation dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-5), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of six weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent to consider the representation dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, the competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of six weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 07, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No