



112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4138-2024

Date of Decision: 07.05.2024

Juhi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4 .

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner. Requisite copies of the petition have

already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, Punjab to look into the representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

07.05.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no