



250

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.25890 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.12.2024

Reena ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Priyawrat Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jatin Verma, Advocate
for Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 8.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
32	05.04.2017	Titram	148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 452, 506 IPC, 1860

Seeking quashing of order dated 20.04.2018 (Annexure P-6) passed by Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Kaithal and affirmed by the appellate Court vide which application of petitioner under section 319 Cr.P.C.,1973 was dismissed in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., 1973.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits the trial proceedings before the trial Court concerned in the present FIR has been concluded and the present petition has been rendered infructuous in terms of judgment passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in case “Sukhpal Singh Khaira v. The State of Punjab”, (2022) 17 SCC 246.

4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of, as having become infructuous. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

13.12.2024

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No.