

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

120

CWP No.10461 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.05.2024

Satnam Singh
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to refix the pay/pension of the petitioner after granting him the benefit of 3rd ACP on completion of 24 years of service and to release all consequential benefits including arrears of pay and pension along with interest @ 18% per annum from due date till its actual payment.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, confines his prayer for deciding legal notice dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-4). He submits that at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied, if the said legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and on the asking of the Court Mr. Shobhit

2024:PHHC:061841

Phutela, Advocate, who is on the panel of Department of Local Government, Punjab, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide legal notice dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously, submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 03 months from receipt of certified copy of this order.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

06.05.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No