

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4077 of 2024
Date of decision: 6th May, 2024

Vaishali & another
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
2. On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioners, Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur is impleaded as respondent No.2 in place of Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) and SHO, Police Station Bhani Mian Khan, District Gurdaspur as respondent No.3. Amended memo of parties filed in Court today, is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioners are apprehending threats at the hands of private respondents, who are parents and brother respectively of petitioner No.1. He submits that ever since the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of the private respondents, they are being continuously threatened with

dire consequences. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3, the marriage certificate. It has been further submitted that representation (Annexure P-4) dated 29.04.2024 was also made to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, however, it had proved to be in vain.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

5. At the asking of Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

6. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) dated 29.04.2024 and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage alleged to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 6, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No