



256 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23277-2024

Date of decision: 04.07.2024

PANKAJ AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.408 dated 21.09.2023 under Sections 323, 34, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 09.05.2024 :-

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.408 dated 21.09.2023 under Sections 323, 34, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute is purely private in nature and due to miscommunication and misunderstanding, FIR (supra) was registered and with the respectables of the society, a compromise has been effected between the parties and now respondent No.2 and petitioner No.1 are cohabiting together.

Notice of motion for 04.07.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate accepts notice for respondent



No.2 and files Memorandum of Appearance, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama in the Registry before the next date of hearing.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.408 dated 21.09.2023 under Sections 323, 34, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

July 04, 2024
manisha

JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No