



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-22800-2024  
Date of decision: September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2024

Rahul @ Rahul Nagar and another  
.....Petitioners  
Versus

State of Haryana  
.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.  
  
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, Assistant Advocate General,  
Haryana.  
  
Mr. Ankush Verma, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the  
petitioners in case FIR No.18 dated 21.02.2024 under Sections 454,  
380, 448, 506, 511, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Bhupani,  
District Faridabad.

2. While issuing notice of motion on 07.05.2024, following  
submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners were recorded:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia  
contends that it is a matter of record that a civil suit  
already stood instituted by the petitioners in  
October, 2023 qua the property in question, in  
which status quo had been ordered by the Civil  
Judge (Jr. Divn.), Faridabad (Annexure P-2) on  
20.11.2023, however, the FIR in question was  
registered at the instance of the complainant on  
21.02.2024 alleging that the petitioners had*

*demolished the building (school) which earlier stood on the land in question and had then sold off all the articles inside it. Learned counsel has submitted that thus it is evident for reasons but obvious, the FIR in question had been lodged by the complainant.”*

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 21.05.2024, the petitioners had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 21.05.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.05.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2024**

*Puneet*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No