

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-12505-2021
Date of Decision: 04.03.2024

Tinku

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for
Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that advertisement in question has already been cancelled, thus, the present petition has rendered infructuous.
2. Mr. Pratham Sethi, learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.
3. Dismissed as infructuous.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.03.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No