

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10414-2024

Date of decision : 06.05.2024

Mahender

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of direction in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent No.2/Commissioner, Division Faridabad to decide the revision petition No.077/2023-2024, dated 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-9) pending for 01.05.2024 in a time bound manner as despite stay order passed by Ld. Commissioner, the private respondents have destroyed the peas crop and they gave beatings and also threatened him. The contempt application dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure P-13) was filed but no action has been taken by Ld. Commissioner. It is further submitted that private respondents in connivance with respondent No.2 are trying to take possession of land in dispute from petitioner despite stay order dated 03.01.2024 (Annexure P-10). The petitioner reported the matter to local police and also brought it to the notice of the Commissioner of Police-respondent No.20 and SHO, PS Tigaon-respondent No.21 vide complaint dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) and 13.03.2024 (Annexure P-12) but till date no action has been taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the revision filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner, Division Faridabad, is pending since 12.12.2023 and the same has not been decided and despite stay order passed by the Ld. Commissioner, the private respondents destroyed the peas crop and gave beatings to the petitioner and threatened him.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents-State. She submits that the case is pending for 03.07.2024 and Ld. Commissioner will decide the matter expeditiously.

Heard.

After hearing counsel for the parties and on perusal of the record, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner Division, Rohtak to decide the revision pending before him expeditiously, as per law. Regarding other prayers made by the petitioner, he is at liberty to avail his remedy as per law.

06.05.2024
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No