



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

114 CRM-M-23644-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 10.05.2024

MANPRET SINGH ...PETITIONERS
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) within a time bound manner.
3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

10.05.2024
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No