

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(275)

CRM-M-44016-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 13.02.2024.

Khalid Nashim

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner has assailed order dated 03.10.2015 whereby he was declared as proclaimed person in FIR No.20 dated 16.01.2024 for offence under Section 292/509/506 of IPC and Section 66-A, 67 of IT Act registered at Police Station, Gharaunda.

2. On 18.02.2016, while issuing notice of motion, following order was passed:-

“The accused petitioner has challenged the order dated 03.10.2015 (P-2) whereby the Illaqa Magistrate has declared him proclaimed offender.

It is contended that the petitioner has been a resident of Saudi Arabia and employed there since 2012 and had no notice of the allegations against him in case FIR NO.20 dated 16.01.2014 for offences punishable under Sections 292, 509, 506 of IPC and Sections 66-A, 67 of the IT Act registered with the Police Station, Gharaunda.

It is contended that due procedure as per Section 82 Cr.P.C. in the light of factual situation have not been followed and therefore, the impugned order deserves to be

set aside.

Notice of motion for 06.04.2016.

*Till the next date of hearing, operation of the
impugned order shall remain stayed.”*

- 3. Thereafter, the petitioner has repeatedly remained unrepresented.
- 4. Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

13.02.2024
spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No