



108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4111-2024
Date of Decision: 07.05.2024

Arun and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ankit Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioners.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Vivek Singla, Addl. P.P. appears on behalf of respondents-U.T. Chandigarh and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners. Requisite copies of the

petition have already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, within a period of 02 weeks from today.

07.05.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no