

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-10520-2024**Date of decision: - 07.05.2024****Swaran Singh and others****....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana****.....Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ particularly in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to comply with the orders dated 17.05.2016 (Annexure P-26) and 17.05.2017 (Annexure P-27) as passed in the writ petitions as well as in the contempt petitions dated 01.03.2023 (Annexure P-38) and in the applications dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-39) as filed in the contempt petitions and in furtherance of the same, grant seniority to the petitioners from the date their juniors have been granted alongwith all consequential benefits including pay re-fixation, promotion, arrears of salary along with interest etc.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners had given a representation dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-41) and at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the competent authority of the

respondent considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent would consider the said representation dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-41), filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent to consider the representation dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-41) filed by the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, the competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 07, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No