



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10316-2024

Date of decision: 13.05.2024

Neetu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 to get House No.226 Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak vacated from respondent No.5 and deliver the possession to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is working as a Wrestling Coach in the Department of Sports and Youth Affairs and was initially allotted House No.234, Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak by respondent No.3 and thereafter, a representation was made to respondent No.3 for recognizing the mutual exchange of allotment of house allotted to the petitioner with the house which had been allotted to



respondent No.5, i.e., House No.226, Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak and vide order dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P-3), Chairman, House Allotment Committee, Rohtak-respondent No.3 had recognized the said mutual exchange and had observed that House No.226, Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak would be allotted to the petitioner with immediate effect. It is submitted that in spite of several representations, respondent No.5 did not vacate the said premises and now respondent No.5 has retired and thus, the petitioner is ready to get the possession with respect to House No.226, Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak. It is further submitted that in case the said house is allotted to the petitioner and the possession is given, then the petitioner and her family members would immediately vacate the House No.234, Type II, Revenue Colony, Rohtak. It is also submitted that the petitioner in the said regard, had given a representation dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.3 and she would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said respondent No.3 is directed to consider the said representation dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.3 would consider the said representation dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3



to consider the representation dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, respondent No.3 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.3 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.3 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

13.05.2024  
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned:- | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:-        | Yes/No |