



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(217) CRM-M-22547-2024(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:09.12.2024

RajeshPetitioner

VERSUS

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present None for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J (ORAL)

1. No one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on the earlier occasions.
2. The petitioner has suffered incarceration of more than four years, five months, as on date, and he is stated to be involved in two other cases. This Court has examined the allegations, as alleged against the present petitioner which are indeed grave in nature. The petitioner has alleged to have committed murder of his wife, and one minor daughter Bharti, and caused burn injury to her another daughter Radhika in his house, in the night between 08.06.2020 and 09.06.2020. The case is based on circumstantial evidence.
3. Considering the gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief asked for. Therefore, the present petition is **dismissed**.
4. However, considering the days of incarceration suffered by present petitioner, this Court deem it appropriate to pass a mandamus upon the trial Court concerned to make endeavour to conclude the recording of statements of prosecution witnesses preferably within a period of six months.

CRM-M-22547-2024(O&M)22024:PHHC:163828

5. The superintendent of Police is also directed to ensure the presence of all prosecution witnesses as and when called upon by the learned trial Court.

6. A copy of the order be sent to the learned trial Court concerned as well as Superintendent of Police concerned for making compliance.

09.12.2024mamta

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No