



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10267 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2024

Amritpal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Transmission Corporation Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the action of the respondents in not considering and granting due promotion to the petitioner on the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) under Scheduled Caste Category against the posts lying vacant w.e.f. 29.01.2024, in terms of memo dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-10). Further prayer has been made to issue direction to the respondents to consider and grant due promotion to the petitioner from the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) to the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) under the Scheduled Caste Category, while considering his claim for promotion with other eligible candidates on the date of occurrence of two vacancies i.e. 29.01.2024, whose service record was called in terms of memo dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-10), and grant promotion to the petitioner on the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) forthwith together with all consequential benefits.



Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from the Department, submits that although the particulars of concerned persons were called for consideration for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) vide letter dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-10) and the said information was given by the concerned department on 26.03.2024 and in the interregnum, during imposition of Model Code of Conduct, no further proceedings have been taken place, not only in the present case but in all other cases, as well. He further submits that on the expiry of Model Code of Conduct, the necessary consideration shall take place.

In this view of the matter, the present petition is pre-mature as no right of the petitioner has adversely been affected and if the petitioner still aggrieved against any action of the respondents, he may approach the Court, thereafter.

Disposed of being pre-mature.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

13.05.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No