



141

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4764-2024 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.05.2024**

JATINDER MAHAJAN

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-W-660-2024

This application has been filed under Rule 3-A(i) of the Chapter 6 Part B Volume V of the High Court Rules and orders for grant of leave to filing the present case without number of Roll of advocate.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is allowed.

CRWP-4764-2024

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondent authorities for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner from the impending an imminent threat at the behest of police officials of District Gurdaspur, Punjab

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to



CRWP-4764-2024

-2-

decide the representation dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.

5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

23.05.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>