

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22357-2024
DECIDED ON: 06.05.2024**

BHAVESH MITTAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to the learned Lower Revisional Court to decide the application dated 15.07.2022 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner under Section 91 Cr.P.C. in the CRR No.158 of 2021 pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad in some time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has filed one criminal complaint dated 17.07.2018 before the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad along with application under Section 91 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents to produce certain necessary documents, which were necessary for the adjudication of the present complaint. However, the aforesaid criminal complaint as well as application stand dismissed by the JMIC, Faridabad on 11.08.2020. Aggrieved against the said order, the petitioner preferred the criminal revision No.158 of 2021

before District & Sessions Judge, Faridabad on 22.10.2021, wherein he again moved an application dated 15.07.2022 under Section 91 Cr.P.C.

3. He further submits that no action is being taken on that said application and the Court below is harassing the petitioner on one pretext or the other and is not deciding his application, therefore, the petitioner is forced to file the present petition seeking direction to the learned Lower Revisional Court to decide the application dated 15.07.2022 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. In view of the submissions made hereinabove, this Court is of the considered view that the application under Section 91 Cr.P.C., filed by the petitioner on 15.07.2022 (Annexure P-4) for deciding the same is totally unwarranted and misguided and without any basis.

5. The instant petition stands dismissed having no cogent reason for this Court to intervene.

06.05.2024
Poonam Negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No