



CWP-9780-2023

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-9780-2023

Date of Decision: 17.05.2024

Harminder Singh Sangha

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Neha Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondent Nos.1 and 2
(*present through Video Conferencing*)

Mr. Pawan Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos.1 to 5 to take action against respondent No.6 as she had obtained passport on the basis of forged documents.
2. The petitioner is claiming that respondent No.6, on the basis of forged documents, has obtained passport. He has filed representation before the passport authority but to no avail.
3. Ms. Neha, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that respondents examined the record and did not find any infirmity in



the documents submitted by respondent No.6. In the absence of any infirmity, no action is warranted.

4. Mr. Pawan Kumar, learned State counsel submits that as per enquiry conducted by jurisdictional authorities, respondent No.6 has not submitted any forged or fabricated document warranting action.

5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, this Court is of the considered view that present petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.05.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No