

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-10369-2024**Date of Decision : May 06, 2024****Manisha****.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while issuing the advertisement in question, certain qualifications were prescribed which should have been acquired by a candidate so as to be considered eligible to compete for the post of Junior Coach but in the note 1 of the essential qualification, the said qualification has been relaxed to mean that the diploma in NIS can be obtained by a candidate within a period of three years in case he/she has been selected for the post in question.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that once, the candidate with the diploma in NIS are available, no relaxation can be granted to the candidates who are not having the same so as to make them eligible for the post in question contrary to the terms of the advertisement.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition has been filed by way of representation, copy of which has been appended with this petition as Annexure P-12 dated NIL and the said representation has been received by the respondents on 29.04.2024 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case, the respondents are directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the representation dated NIL (Annexure P-12) has been received in the office of concerned authorities and is still pending, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the petitioner, the same will also be released to her otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

May 06, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No