



CRM-M-22699-2023 (O&M)

1

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22699-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 31.07.2024

Nitin and others

.....Petitioner s

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG Haryana

Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate
for the complainant

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

CRM-42398-2023

Application is allowed and Annexures R-1 and R-2 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-22699-2023

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case FIR No.68 dated 28.03.2023, under Sections 148, 149, 324, 506, 307 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jai Karan submits that in compliance of order dated 05.05.2023, petitioner No. 1 has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation. He further submits that petitioners No. 2 and 3 have concealed the facts and warrants of arrest has

been issued against them vide order dated 25.07.2024 passed by Additional



CRM-M-22699-2023 (O&M)

2

District and Sessions Judge-II, Faridabad.

3. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is dismissed qua petitioners No. 2 and 3.

4. The petition is allowed qua petitioner No. 1 and order dated 05.05.2023 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute qua him only.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

7. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

8. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

31.07.2024

reena

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No