



CWP-10413-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10413-2024**Date of decision: 07.05.2024**

M/s ARPM International

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana, Town & Country Planning Department, and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Parmod Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (M/s ARPM International), aggrieved by the order of resumption dated 24.10.2017 (P-3), as also the order dated 03.09.2019 (P-4), whereby even the appellate authority had dismissed the appeal preferred by it against the said order, approached the Revisional Authority, under Section 17(8) of the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran Act, 1977. And, vide order dated 20.10.2020 (P-5), the Revisional Authority remitted the matter to the Estate Officer, HSVP, Gurugram, for re-consideration and to pass orders afresh, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, along with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that, in fact, even before the Revisional Authority passed the order dated 20.10.2020 (*ibid*), the Industrial Estate, Gurugram, stood transferred from HSVP to Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (HSIIDC). Therefore, he submits that the competent authority to examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, pursuant to the order passed by the Revisional Authority, is the Estate Manager, HSIIDC, Gurugram. Thus, it is urged, for the



competent authority is already in seisin of the matter, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the authority to deal therewith and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned State counsel and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the matter being time sensitive, the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of eight weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

07.05.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No