

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22271-2024
Date of decision: 29.05.2024

PREM SINGH....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.


Ms. Smriti Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 19.04.2024 (Annexures P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
86	16.04.2024	406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014	Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 19.04.2024 (Annexures P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. As per report of learned trial Court, cost of



CRM-M-22271-2024

2

Rs.20,000/- has been deposited in compliance to the order dated 13.05.2024.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, vide report dated 23.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 86 dated 16.04.2024, under Sections 406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.05.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |