

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

124

CWP-10233 of 2024

Date of Decision:06.05.2024

Khushdeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directintg the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner by implementing order dated 06.03.2017, Annexure P-9.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on the sanctioned post of Patwari on which he has been working since 01.02.2013 and an order dated 06.03.2017, Annexure P-9, regularizing his services was also passed however despite the same he has made to work in contractual capacity. He relies upon CWP-12121 of 2016, titled **Pritam Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 13.03.2020, wherein the plea that the vires of the Punjab *Ad Hoc*, Contractual, Daily Wage, Temporary, Work Charge and Outsourced Employees Welfare Act, 2016 was

under challenge before the Division Bench in CWP-4187 of 2017 and this Court had directed regularization of the petitioners therein. In this regard, a representation dated 20.06.2023, Annexure P-11, has been submitted by him, which has yet not evoked any response. He, on instructions, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if his representation is directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
5. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 20.06.2023, Annexure P-11, within a period of six months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him, and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 06, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No