



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-31378-2024 in/and
CRM-M-24144-2024
Date of Decision: 20.08.2024

Hanish Verma @ Jimmy ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Waraich (Rana) Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, D.A.G., Punjab.
(through video conferencing).

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-31378-2024

This is an application for preponing the main petition, which is pending for 19.10.2024.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The main case is taken up on Board today itself.

CRM-M-24144-2024

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
252	02.12.2023	Sadar Nabha, District Patiala	21 and 22 of NDPS Act

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 CrPC for issuance of necessary directions to respondent No. 2 for conducting fair and impartial investigation and also for transferring the investigation of above captioned FIR to some other independent agency.

2. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, the response of official respondents is not required.



3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a regular bail petition, which is listed on 10.09.2024.
4. Given above, it would be appropriate that the representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-5) be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police by 07.09.2024, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding IPS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists as well as his counsel without delay. Counsel for the petitioner to supply the email id to State counsel so that the concerned officer could communicate them the outcome.
5. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.
6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.
7. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.08.2024
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.