



127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4064-2024  
Date of decision : 06.05.2024

VANDNA DEVI AND ANOTHER  
....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS  
...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Shivraj Daumajra, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

As per the petitioners, they have married on 27.04.2024 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1.

2024.PHHC.061798



Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1 is 31.10.1992 born and petitioner No.2 is 09.06.1987 born.

Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent of Police, Kaithal) to look into the representation dated 27.04.2024 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 8 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

The petition stands disposed off.

May 06, 2024  
Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)  
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No