

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-10139-2024
Date of Decision : 03.05.2024

RAVINDER KUMAR ... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.Sahil Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner (through VC).

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to the respondent to decide his representation dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-1).
2. The mother of the petitioner was working with respondent as Senior Head Messenger. She passed away on 08.11.2023 in harness. Father of the petitioner passed away earlier in 1999. He claims that he is entitled to appointment on compassionate ground.
3. Mr.Sahil Goel, Advocate submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if respondent is directed to decide his representation in a time bound manner.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and submits that the competent authority would look into

representation of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 08 weeks from today.

4. In the wake of statement of counsel for the respondents, the instant petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.05.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>