



CRM-M-22753-2023 (O&M) - 1 -

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22753-2023 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 30.07.2024

SUSHIL KUMAR TANWAR @ SUSHIL ... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Dhananjay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Abhijeet Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 349 dated 09.07.2020 registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, Kurukshetra under Sections 406, 498-A, 494, 506 IPC, on the basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No. 2 due to matrimonial dispute, which stands settled now. The marriage of the petitioner and respondent No.2 has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act in terms of the judgment and decree dated 11.10.2023 passed by the learned Principle Judge, Family Court, Kurukshetra.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 2 has



CRM-M-22753-2023 (O&M)

- 2 -

confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 13.05.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 08.07.2024, received from the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The petitioner has not been declared as "Proclaimed Offender".

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The matrimonial dispute between the parties stands settled. Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.349 dated 09.07.2020 registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, Kurukshetra under Sections 406, 498-A, 494, 506 IPC and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[9] However, respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to

2024:PHHC:097077



CRM-M-22753-2023 (O&M)

- 3 -

seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

30.07.2024

Janki

**(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No