



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10271-2024

Date of decision : 08.05.2024

Palak and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Science, Faridkot and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-assessment / re-checking of the marks granted in the examination answer sheet of Physiology and Anatomy of the petitioners from another examiner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners had submitted separate representations dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) and dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representations are considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found

2024:PHHC:063793



to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.1 has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representations and decide the same within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representations dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) and dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the pleas of the petitioners are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representations independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 08, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No