



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CWP-10350-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.05.2024

Mamta Sharma

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the family pension along with interest for which the petitioner has been entitled since the death of her husband namely Rajeev Kumar.
2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as regards her grievances mentioned in the petition, she has already sent a representation dated 14.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3-The Commandant, 6th IRB Battalion, Sangrur, and she would be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the said representation by passing a well reasoned and speaking order in a time bound manner.



3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that she has no objection to the aforesaid prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. With the consent of the parties, the present petition is accordingly **disposed of** without commenting on the merits of the present case and with a direction to respondent No.3-The Commandant, 6th IRB Battalion, Sangrur, to take note of the representation dated 14.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) sent by the petitioner and to pass a well reasoned and speaking order thereupon after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties in accordance with law and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

06.05.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No