

Let notice be issued to the respondent for 19.07.2012, on furnishing of correct address of the respondent within two weeks from today.”

In spite of last opportunity being granted, the petitioner failed to furnish the correct address of respondent and the following order was passed on 19.07.2012:

“The order dated 17.2.2012 has not been complied with as yet. The same be complied with one week. On his doing so, fresh notice be issued to the respondent for 28.8.2012.”

3. Thereafter, on 28.08.2012, neither there was any appearance on behalf of the petitioner nor the previous order was complied with, as such, the following order was passed on 18.08.2012 :

“Present : None.

As per the office report, notice could not be issued to the respondent as the learned counsel has not supplied the correct address.

Adjourned sine die.

List after the needful is done.”

4. Thereafter, this case was listed by the Registry in the *kacha peshi*, in compliance of the order of the Hon’ble the Chief Justice, as the petitioner failed to supply the correct address of the respondent, while informing the counsel for the petitioner to this effect.

5. A perusal of the record of the case reveals that despite availing number of opportunities, since the year 2010, the petitioner has not been able to effect service upon the respondent despite being granted last opportunity in this regard as stated above.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the present matter cannot be kept pending for an indefinite period for making compliance of the order passed by this Court. As such, keeping in view the non-interest of the petitioner in pursuing the matter, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution as well as for non-compliance of the order passed by this Court.

15.04.2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No