

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

122

CWP-10093-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.05.2024

Viren Garg and Company and Anr.

.....*Petitioners*

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....*Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR.**

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate, and
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the action taken by the respondents to close down their vend, he has submitted a representation but respondents has not decided the same. The petitioner has suffered losses for which he is claiming compensation.
2. We are of the firm view that if any representation is submitted to the authorities, they are supposed to decide the same.
3. Learned counsel for the State is present in the Court on serving of copy of this Writ Petition as advance notice. Accordingly, we direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.02.2024 made by the petitioner within a period of 15 days henceforth.
4. The petitioner would be also allowed to appear personally to submit his grievance and it is expected from the authorities to pass a

speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner, if still aggrieved, shall be free to take legal remedies as per the law.

5. In view of the aforesaid observations, the present Writ Petition stands *disposed of*.
6. All pending applications filed in this case shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

02.05.2024
jyoti3

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No