



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(233)

CWP No. 13626 of 2021
Date of Decision : 16.05.2024

Pardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner had performed the duties of the post of Deputy Superintendent for which he is entitled for the salary but he has not been paid any salary.

In the reply, the respondents have categorically denied that the petitioner was ever given the charge of the post of Deputy Superintendent at any given point of time before his retirement and further relied upon Rule 75 and 76 of the Haryana Civil Services (Pay) Rules, 2016, to contend that even if, any charge of the higher post is given in addition to the existing duties, no higher emoluments can be paid.



No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner to prosecute the present petition.

It can be safely presumed that keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

May 16, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No