

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

2024:PHHC:061294
CRWP-4010-2024
Date of decision: May 3rd, 2024

Rahila and another
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Shweta Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent No.4 (husband of petitioner No.1), who is averse to their live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been in a live-in relationship and they are continuously being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondent. Hence, there is a genuine apprehension of the life of the petitioners being in peril. Resultantly, a representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to look into their representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. Mr. H.P.S. Rahi, Advocate, for Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondent No.4 has opposed the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioners and has submitted that petitioner No.1 is not legally divorced from her husband.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. In view of the limited prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to look into the representation dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

May 3rd, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No