

**LPA-1101-2024 (O&M)****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****Sr. No.104****LPA-1101-2024 (O&M)****Date of decision: 15.10.2024**

The Bhakra Beas Management Board and others

....Appellants

Versus

Dalbir Singh

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**Present: Mr. Pranav Chadha, Advocate
for the appellants.*** * *****DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The present intra court appeal is directed against the judgment dated 13.02.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court disposing of the respondent's writ petition with a direction to the appellant – The Bhakra Beas Management Board (for short – the 'Board') to pay to the respondent House Rent Allowance (for short – 'HRA') from 01.01.2013 to 30.06.2014.

2. After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant and going through the record, we find that on 31.12.2012 the respondent was to superannuate; through letter dated 07.12.2012, he was re-employed as a Special Foreman by the appellant – Board; one of the terms of his re-employment was that in case no official accommodation is provided to him, he shall be entitled to HRA; after his re-employment, the respondent continued to occupy the official accommodation in which he was housed on the date of his superannuation and vacated the same on 05.02.2013; vide



LPA-1101-2024 (O&M)

-2-

memo dated 19.02.2013, an intimation with regard to the respondent having vacated his official residence was duly sent by him to the Divisional Office of the Board; after 05.02.2013, he did not occupy any official accommodation but continued to serve the Board; through letter dated 19.08.2014 his re-employment was extended but in such letter it was specifically provided that he shall not be entitled to any HRA and that the respondent remained in the service of the appellant – Board till 24.08.2016.

3. In the light of the afore undisputed facts, no error is found in the impugned judgment wherein, in terms of his re-employment dated 07.12.2012, the respondent has been held entitled to the grant of HRA after he had, with due intimation to the Divisional Office of the Board, vacated his official accommodation till the date when as per the terms of extension in his re-employment dated 19.08.2014, he was not entitled to the grant of HRA.

4. Dismissed.

5. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

October 15, 2024
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No